

# Sample Designs and Bycatch Estimates

Marti McCracken

# Fisheries covered by the Pacific Islands Regional observer program.

- ❖ Hawaii shallow-set longline fishery (SLL)
  - 100% observer coverage
  
- ❖ Hawaii deep-set longline fishery (DSLL)
  - 20% observer coverage on an annual basis
  
- ❖ American Samoa longline fishery (ASLL)
  - ≈20% observer coverage on an annual basis

# The challenges of creating a probability sampling design for the DSLL fishery.

- ❖ Impractical to maintain a constant proportion of observers to fishing activity,

$$\frac{\#observers}{\#trips\ departing}$$

- ❖ Observer placement on SSL trip has priority.
- ❖ Observers are not paid while waiting to be deployed.

# Systematic-Plus Design (SYSPLUS)

- ❖ Sampling frame is the list of notifications.
- ❖ SYSPLUS design is a two-stage design.
- ❖ The first stage sample is a systematic sample with coverage 5% lower than the targeted coverage.
  - Each systematic sample (cluster sample) is a simple random sample without replacement (SRSWOR) of 5 systematic clusters.
- ❖ The plus sample (second stage sample) is a series of simple random samples drawn when have extra observers that are ready for deployment.
- ❖ The SYSPLUS design is a hierarchical unequal probability design.
- ❖ A year's sample may be stratified with SYSPLUS sample within strata.

# Estimation of total incidental take.

Use the generalized ratio estimator (GRE) to estimate total incidental take (T). For inclusion probabilities  $\pi_i$ , the GRE is

$$\hat{T} = T_x (\hat{T}_y / \hat{T}_x)$$

where  $T_x$  is the population total of the auxiliary variable  $x$ ,  $\hat{T}_y = \sum y_i / \pi_i$  and  $\hat{T}_x = \sum x_i / \pi_i$ .

Auxiliary variable ( $x$ ) is typically

$$ntrip = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{trip landed during year} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

# Interval estimation of total incidental take.

- ❖ Normal-based confidence intervals are inappropriate.
- ❖ Use model-based interval estimators based on the Poisson distribution.
- ❖ Shifting from a frequentist approach to a Bayesian approach.

# Examples of Hawaii DSLL estimated turtle takes.

<b>Species</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>#Observed</b>	<b>Est. Take</b>	<b>95% CI</b>
Leatherback	2011	3	14	[3,36]
	2012	1	6	[1,24]
	2013	3	15	[3,36]
Olive Ridley	2011	7	36	[13,69]
	2012	6	34	[12,65]
	2013	9	42	[19,77]
Loggerhead	2011	0	0	[0,15]
	2012	0	0	[0,16]
	2013	2	11	[2,31]

## Estimation of incidental takes resulting in a classification of death or serious injury (DSI) within subgeographical areas.

- ❖ Since 2013, the GRE is used to estimate total DSI within areas where

$$adj. trip = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{trip fished in area and landed during year} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$adj. y = \begin{cases} y, & \text{DSI takes that occurred in area and trip landed during year} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- ❖ Before 2013, the GRE was used to estimate T within area where

$$adj. ntrip = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{trip fished in area and landed during year} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$adj. y = \begin{cases} y, & \text{takes that occurred in area and trip landed during year} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- Then, estimate the probability a take results in a DSI classification ( $p_{DSI}$ ) based on the proportion of observed takes in comparable years of similar species that were classified as DSI.
- Estimator of DSI within area (a) is

$$\#observed\ DSI\ in\ area + (\hat{T}_a - \#observed\ takes\ in\ area)\hat{p}_{DSI}.$$

# Interval Estimators of DSI

- ❖ Since 2013, I've been shifting from a frequentist model-based interval estimator to using a Bayesian interval estimator based on the Poisson distribution.
- ❖ Before 2013, the interval estimates were computed using a parametric bootstrap algorithm. For several species coverage of the approximate confidence interval was likely lower than the nominal coverage.
  - If used similar estimator of DSI in future will likely use a Bayesian interval estimator.

# Examples of marine mammals 2009-2013 5-year average DSI estimates.

Species	#Observed	DSLL Estimates		Hawaii EEZ Estimates	
		Est. DSI	95% CI	Est. DSI	95% CI
False Killer Whale	4.6	20.4	[10.4,28.4]	11.6	[6.6,17.0]
Short-finned pilot whale	0.6	0.4	[0.6,2.0]	0	[0.0,0.8]
Blackfish	1.4	4	[1.4,7.0]	2.4	[1.0,4.4]
Risso's dolphin	0.4	0.9	[0.4,2.8]	0.6	[0.2,1.8]
Sperm Whale	0.2	0.7	[0.2,1.4]	0.7	[0.2,1.2]
Pantropical spotted dolphin	0.2	0.5	[0.2,1.2]	0	[0.0,0.4]
Striped Dolphin	0.2	0.6	[0.2,1.2]	0	[0.0,0.4]
Bottle dolphin	0.4	1.9	[0.4,3.8]	0	[0.0,1.2]

# The challenges of sampling the ASLL fishery.

- ❖ Fishery has been unstable.
- ❖ Length of ASLL trips is highly variable.
- ❖ Port stops (vessels arrives back at a port and does not offload catch) can be longer than a week.
- ❖ Have a small number ( $\approx 3$ ) of observers.

# ASLL sampling protocol.

- ❖ When an observer is ready for deployment, randomly select a vessel from vessels preparing to depart on a new trip.
- ❖ An ASLL trip ends when a vessel comes into port and offloads their catch or a port stop exceeds 96 hours (4 days).

# Estimation of Total Incidental Takes.

- ❖ Use classification trees and records of observer deployment to identify periods where trips are selected at about the same frequency.
- ❖ Define these intervals as strata and assume the sample within a stratum behaves like a simple random sample without replacement.
- ❖ Estimate  $T$  and DSI using the same GRE as used for the Hawaii DSLL fishery .

Examples of ASLL incidental sea turtle take estimates and marine mammal DSI estimates.

<b>Species</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>#Observed</b>	<b>Est. Take/DSI</b>	<b>95% CI</b>
Green	2011	11	32	[16,48]
	2012	0	0	[0,43]
	2013	2	19	[3,5]
Leatherback	2011	2	4	[2,26]
	2012	1	6	[2,45]
	2013	2	13	[2,36]
False Kiler Whale	2011	3	6	[4,28]
	2012	0	0	[0,43]
	2013	1	9	[1,36]